14	/	
13	Defendant.	0.20 1.11.12 002 02
12	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	HE WILL CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	v.	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO ADVISE COURT WHETHER
10	Plaintiff,	No. C 14-04752 JSW
9	KEVIN JOHN LEE,	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	EOD THE MODTHEDM DISTRICT OF CALLEODALA	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5		
4		
3		
2		
1		

es initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b). Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED to advise the Court, no later than December 29, 2014, as to whether he consents to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action. Defendant has already consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge. (See Docket No. 8.) The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 8, 2014

24

25 26

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

¹ Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.